Interdisciplinary Science Teamwork Skills

Kathleen E. Halvorsen¹ and Alex S. Mayer²

¹Department of Social Sciences/School of Forest Resources and Environmental Science ² Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering/Department of Geological and Mining Sciences and Engineering Michigan Technological University

Interdisciplinary (ID) and Transdisciplinary (TD)

 Interdisciplinary science teams: include 2 out of 3 of categories – social and/or natural and/or engineering sciences.

 Transdisciplinary science teams: interdisciplinary + include non-scientists, such as agency managers, policy makers, community members, NGO staff

My background

- Many, mostly US National Science Foundation SEES (Science, Engineering, and Education for Sustainability) projects on water, bioenergy, climate change
- Highly interdisciplinary, international proposals and grants
- Teams of 3-40 social, natural, and engineering scientists with US\$ 300,000-4.8 million
- Taught international graduate class on ID science team skills, publishing about it, and have new IAI grant on ID teamwork
- Natural resource policy scientist

Why do ID or TD science work?

ID and TD Team Science Advantages

- ID teams have broader expertise, better fit to study and solve complex environmental research problems
- Learning across disciplines
- We need to learn to do it well and teach our students to do it well
- Science agencies require it

Challenges!



- Managing ID science teams is very hard
- Managing TD science teams is even harder

How are ID and TD processes difficult?

ID/TD Scientific Proposals: Common Problems

- Poor integration across disciplines and research questions
- Poor integration across products/outcomes
- Different disciplinary sections use different organizational methods
- Social scientists not treated as equals added late, fewer \$, not seen as scientists, used just for "outreach" or "education," but not for science

Why is it so hard to do ID and TD work?

Scientific Research Teams = Small Work Groups

Effective small work groups MUST have:

- Shared language, concepts, values
- Shared goals
- Shared norms and roles
- Shared identity with the group.

Creating effective small work groups is HARD!

- The more differences within the group, the harder it is to get:
 - Shared language, concepts, values
 - Shared goals
 - Shared norms and roles
 - Shared identity with the group.

ID group: Social, natural, and engineering scientists

- Shared language, concepts, values?
- Shared goals?
- Shared norms and roles?
- Shared identity with the group?

Social scientists?

- Language, concepts, values?
- Goals?
- Norms and roles?
- Identity with the group?

Natural scientists?

- Language, concepts, values?
- Goals?
- Norms and roles?
- Identity with the group?

TD group: Social, natural, and engineering scientists, policy

makers, managers, NGO staff, community members...

- Shared language, concepts, values?
- Shared goals?
- Shared norms and roles?
- Shared identity with the group?

Interdisciplinarity = Heterogeneity

- Of knowledge,
- scientific norms (research questions v. hypotheses; experimental v. research design; credit),
- language (gradients v. variation),
- respect (unintended insults: soft v. hard science; science social science; STEM v. HASS);
- scale, etc.
- HETEROGENEOUS SMALL GROUP MANAGEMENT IS HARD!!!!!

(from Halvorsen and Mayer 2014)

- The development and management of a successful ID scientific team is hard.
 - Be ready for frustration and challenges.
 - Have strategies to fix common ID and TD problems.
 - Be patient.

 Invest time in the development of team member and/or leader training in ID and TD team social interaction and task skills.

- Choose team members carefully.
 - Social skills are as important as scientific skills.
 - Choose people committed to good ID/TD work.
 - Some team members should have ID/TD experience.
 - ID and TD work can be easier for some disciplines, for instance, applied fields, environmental social sciences.

 Including some people with strong relationships helps kick-start cohesion, identity, and commitment.

Start meeting as a team early.

- The development of group cohesion and identity takes time but it is essential to success.
- Plan for twice as much meeting and work time as for a unidisciplinary proposal or project.
- Assign responsibilities, for instance, socioeconomic and natural scientific subteam leaders.
- Plan to present across disciplines about scientific philosophies, concepts, research design, theory, and methods.

- Smoothly functioning small groups require clear norms, roles, and expectations. Be careful to show respect across disciplines.
 - Begin by discussing good and bad prior ID and TD experiences.
 - Agree on strategies to avoid common ID and TD problems.
 - Agree on rules to show respect, for example, never use terms like: "science and social science" or "hard and soft science." Treat non-scientists as equals.

- The creation of successful ID teams requires good leadership. The team leader should:
 - Have experience in working in successful ID and TD teams.
 - Invest time in learning about other disciplines, for instance, there is no "Social Science" discipline, there ARE anthropologists, geographers, political scientists, etc. with different skills and approaches to scientific work.
 - Demonstrate respect across disciplines.

- Successful teams have a shared purpose.
 - You will have an idea of the scientific goals to start...
 - But people in different disciplines will probably have different goals.
 - Investing extra time in meeting and discussing goals and research designs and <u>integration</u> will help create success.

 Include critical mass of scientists and team members of various types, avoid "tokens."

 A 10 person TD team should not have just 1 social scientist, 1 policy maker and 8 natural scientists.

ID science team skills training

 August-December 2015: International graduate class in ID science team skills.

 November, 2015: International one week online training course in ID science team skills.



