

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE TO COP-20 FROM THE CHAIR OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE

Explanation and Factual Assessment of Proposed Options in the Tripartite IAI Directorate Proposal for the Distribution of Science Program Management and Science Development Functions

During the course of its work, the Ad Hoc Committee established at CoP-19 was unable to achieve agreement from all Parties in the time allotted on the appropriate roles of the Integrated Operations and Finance Directorate and the Science Development Directorate with respect to existing IAI Directorate work to manage IAI science programs and proposed new work under the tripartite model to undertake science development activities. As a result, the Ad Hoc Committee agreed to present CoP-20 with two options for distributing the work of the IAI with respect to existing science management and new science development functions. These options are included in the tripartite proposal in bracketed text, with Option 1 in red text and Option 2 in purple text. A short summary of these options and advantages and disadvantages of each of the proposed options from a factual perspective only are presented below as supplemental information to inform the decision on the tripartite proposal at CoP-20.

OPTION 1

In Option 1, all existing functions and staff of the IAI Directorate, including those associated with science program management, will be based in the Integrated Operations and Finance Directorate in Montevideo, Uruguay. In this option, the Science Development Directorate in São José dos Campos, Brazil and the associated Director of Science Development will take on new functions to build upon and integrate the strengths of the national and regional science networks, explore strategic new areas of science in line with the IAI's mandate, and develop mechanisms for assuring the exchange and analysis of IAI scientific data and information.

- This option is fully consistent with the Resolution from CoP-19 on the need of the IAI to "develop future science capacities and directions".
- It is also fully consistent with the minutes of CoP-19, which indicate that the preference of the CoP was to create new roles for the Science Development and Science-Policy Liaison Directorate of the IAI Directorate and not to duplicate or re-distribute existing functions.

OPTION 2

In Option 2, the existing functions for science program management and the existing Assistant Director of Science Programs will be subordinate to the Science Development Directorate in São José dos Campos, Brazil. The new Director of Science Development will assume responsibility for science program management and will manage the work

of the Assistant Director of Science Programs. No significant new science development functions are proposed under this option.

- This option fulfills Brazil's wish to have a more substantial role in the coordination of the IAI's scientific programs and the integration of national and regional science networks.
- This option is not neutral to the IAI Core Budget. A budget increase will be required.
- This option is not consistent with the minutes of the CoP-19 discussion because it proposes redistributing some existing functions of the IAI Directorate rather than adding only new functions to enhance the Directorate.
- Overlap and duplication exists between the roles of the Director General, Director of Science Development and Assistant Director of Science Programs, which cannot be resolved until the contract for the Director General becomes available for renegotiation in 2014.